



1 government would recommend a total offense level of 31 under the Sentencing Guidelines. (PA at  
 2 8). Furthermore, Lopez-Felix agreed to waive his right to appeal and collaterally attack the conviction  
 3 and sentence. (PA at 10).

4 This court sentenced Lopez-Felix on August 29, 2008. (Doc. No. 26, Ex. 7, Transcript of  
 5 Sentencing, hereinafter "TS"). In combination with the offense level reductions recommended by the  
 6 government, the court reduced the total offense level to 25 based on Lopez-Felix's minor role. (TS  
 7 at 12-13). The court then granted a two-level downward departure, yielding a total offense level of  
 8 23 and a recommended sentence of 46 to 57 months. (TS at 17). The court imposed a 48 month  
 9 sentence. (TS at 17).

## 10 **II. DISCUSSION**

### 11 **A. Waiver of Collateral Attack**

12 In his plea agreement, Lopez-Felix waived his right to appeal or collaterally attack his  
 13 conviction or sentence:

14 In exchange for the Government's concessions in this plea agreement,  
 15 defendant waives, to the full extent of the law, any right to appeal or to collaterally  
 16 attack the conviction and sentence, including any restitution order, unless the Court  
 17 imposes a custodial sentence above the greater of the high end of the guideline range  
 recommended by the Government pursuant to this agreement at the time of sentencing  
 or statutory mandatory minimum term, if applicable.

18 (PA at 10). This waiver is valid, and prevents Lopez-Felix from attacking his sentence via 28 U.S.C.  
 19 § 2255. *See United States v. Abarca*, 985 F.2d 1012, 1014 (9th Cir. 1993); *United States v. Navarro-*  
 20 *Botello*, 912 F.2d 318, 321 (9th Cir. 1990).

### 21 **B. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel**

22 Even if Lopez-Felix had not waived his right to appeal or collaterally attack his conviction and  
 23 sentence, his section 2255 motion would still fail. Lopez-Felix appears to allege that he received  
 24 ineffective assistance of counsel regarding his sentencing. (Doc. No. 22). In particular, Lopez-Felix  
 25 claims that he did not receive a reduced sentence—as his attorney said he would—for cooperating  
 26 with the government. (Doc. No. 22).

27 To sustain an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, Lopez-Felix must demonstrate that (1)  
 28 his attorney's representation fell below objectively reasonable standards and (2) Lopez-Felix suffered  
 prejudice as a result. *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 690-92 (1984). Lopez-Felix, however,

1 can show neither.

2 According to Lopez-Felix's own assertions and the record in this case, Lopez-Felix's attorney  
3 provided accurate and reasonable advice. Lopez-Felix claims that he was told that he would receive  
4 a reduced sentence for cooperating with the government. (Doc. No. 22). And according to the plea  
5 agreement and sentencing transcript, that is exactly what happened. The plea agreement shows that  
6 the government recommended a reduced sentence—pursuant to the “safety valve”—precisely because  
7 Lopez-Felix cooperated with the government. (PA at 8; *see also* Doc. No. 26, Ex. 5). Therefore,  
8 Lopez-Felix's attorney's conduct—which was accurate and beneficial to his client—did not fall below  
9 an objectively reasonable standard.


10 Moreover, Lopez-Felix does not demonstrate any prejudice he suffered because of his  
11 attorney's alleged ineffectiveness. Lopez-Felix received only a 48 month sentence for importing  
12 approximately 24.15 kilograms of methamphetamine. If not for his cooperation with the government  
13 and his attorney's successful arguments for downward departures, Lopez-Felix potentially faced a  
14 70-87 month sentence. *See* U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual § 2D1.1. Therefore, Lopez-Felix  
15 cannot demonstrate a violation of his Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel, and  
16 his motion for a reduced sentence should be denied.

17 **IV. CONCLUSION**

18 For the foregoing reasons, Defendant's motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is hereby DENIED.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 DATED: January 19, 2010

21   
22 Hon. Jeffrey T. Miller  
United States District Judge

23 cc: All parties  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28